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## What is the Parks Report Card?

### Our Greatest Asset
- 2014 Community Attitude and Interest Survey, 93.9% respondents visited a park
- Lacked a way to measure quality of park infrastructure and maintenance

### Why Grade Our Parks?
- Communicate Priorities internally as well externally
- Measure impact of infrastructure investment and maintenance efforts
- Learn how the present state compares to past performance
- Demonstrate progress toward mission, goals, and objectives
- Provide direction for allocation of funding
- Offer transparency and accountability to the public

### How Do We Use This Info?
- Key metric in our strategic plan
- Guides CIP, plans, standards and procedures
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OVERALL PARKS SCORES

- 2015: 85
- 2016: 84
- 2017: 88
- 2018: 90
- 2019: 92
### Parks Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridgeland Common</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheney Mansion</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclid Square</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Park</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Park</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen Park</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindberg Park</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Park</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoville Park</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Gardens</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Park</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor Park</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills Park</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrie Park</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longfellow Park</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenonah Park</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>-6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Park District defines the measurement as the average score of all parks, on a scale of 0 to 100, from the Park District’s Park Report Card from the current year indicating quality and maintenance of park system. This measure is only for Park District park spaces.

**Who are the stakeholders impacted:**
- Park patrons and staff

**What does the data say?**
- Cheney Mansion (+12%) and Randolph Park (+5%) are up from 2018.
- Barrie Park (-4%) and Wenonah Park (-6%) are down from 2018.

**What did we do to achieve the higher scores?**
- Cheney Mansion: limestone exterior sidewalk repairs
- Randolph Park: fencing/gate improvements

**What is driving the lower scores?**
- Barrie Park: worn playground equipment; comfort station belt broken
- Wenonah Park: a large amount of migrated sand

**What outcome are we trying to achieve?**
- Happy and healthy park patrons
Who are the stakeholders impacted:
- Park patrons and staff

What does the data say?
- Passive Greenspaces (+6%) are up
- Bathrooms (-4%) are down

What did we do to achieve the higher scores?
- Passive Greenspaces: major improvement filling empty tree pits at Austin Gardens and Maple Park and Scoville Park had much less bare/yellow spots.

What is driving the lower scores?
- Bathrooms: there were a large amount of broken comfort station belts/seat

What outcome are we trying to achieve?
- Happy and healthy park patrons